

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/566,389	Applicant(s) AL-MAYAH ET AL.	
	Examiner ANA M. FORTUNA	Art Unit 1797	

All Participants:

(1) ANA M. FORTUNA.

(2) Ted J. Barthel.

Date of Interview: 9 September 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☒ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
rejection of record.

Claims discussed:
49 and 61,

Prior art documents discussed:
The art of record as applied to paper of 5/2010.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant's amendment and declaration of 8/20/2010 was discussed. Applicant in the declaration stated that the salts used in the process in the second solution do not cause scale on the membrane, however, claim 61 included scale forming salts. an amendment was proposed to insert the limitations of claim 49 into claim 42, and to cancel claim 61, and to limit the claim to the addition of antiscaling and antifouling agents only.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/ANA M FORTUNA/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)